

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/955,296	09/19/2001	Shih-Chiang Tsao	06720.0068	8161
22852	7590 11/15/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			PHAN, MAN U	
LLP 901 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			2665	
			DATE MAN ED. 11/16/000	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/955,296	TSAO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Man Phan	2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	ugust 2005.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 September 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the ∞rrect 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

Application/Control Number: 09/955,296 Page 2

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to applicant's 08/11/2005 response in the application of Tsao et al. for a "method and apparatus for scheduling for packet-switched networks" filed 09/19/2001. This application claims Priority from Provisional Application 60253930 filed 11/30/2000. The amendment and response has been entered and made of record. Claims 1-13 are pending in the application.

Remarks

2. Applicant's response and argument with regard to the rejection under 35 USC 103 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, and will be examined as discussed below. Furthermore, the rejections of record under 35 U.S.C. '103 of the claims are withdrawn in view of the newly ground of rejection. Accordingly, This action is made Non-Final. Rejections based on the newly ground follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 .S.C. 112, first paragraph

3. Claims 1, 6, 7 and 9, 10, 12, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the

Application/Control Number: 09/955,296 Page 3

Art Unit: 2665

claimed invention. The limitation "arranged in hierarchical order" as described in the claims has no support in the disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ' 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-3, 6, 7 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fawaz et al. (US#6,654,374) in view of Dravida et al. (US#2002/0075875).

Art Unit: 2665

In so far, as understood with respect to claims 1, 6, 7 and 12, Fawaz et al. (US#6,654,374) and Dravida et al. (US#2002/0075875) disclose a novel system and method for scheduling packets in packet networks, according to the essential features of the claims. Fawaz et al. (US#6,654,374) discloses in Fig. 6 a functional block diagram illustrated the packet classification and scheduling of data communications comprises: receiving a plurality of data packets, each including classification information; classifying each of the data packets with one of a plurality of service level agreements (SLAs) corresponding to the classification information for each packet (*identify packet's flow and classify the packet*). Fawaz further teach the step of classifying the packet based on classification information associated with the data packet, and storing the data packet in one of a plurality of queues, and the one queue corresponding to the classification of the packet (Col. 7, lines 29 plus and Col. 14, lines 17 plus).

However, Fawaz does not disclose expressly the step of placing packets in priority order of queues. In the same field of endeavor, Dravida (US#2002/0075875) discloses a method of packet handling, scheduling and flow control at a network element includes receiving packets on input links coupled to the network element, each packet having a quality of service (QoS) class indicating a service priority ranging from highest (1) to lowest (N). Received packets for each of the QoS classes from 1 to N-1 are stored in a common queue per QoS class while packets received for the lowest (N) QoS class are stored in link queues corresponding to the input links. The packets are transmitted from the common queues and the plural link queues to an output link according to a scheduling discipline.

Application/Control Number: 09/955,296

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 2, 3, Fawaz further teaches a guaranteed QoS in packet sitched network, in which packets are classified according to an Service Level Agreements (SLA) by reading the source and destination addresses in the packet (See Fig. 6; Col. 7, lines 29 plus).

Regarding claims 10, 11, they are system claims corresponding to the method and apparatus claims 1-3, 12 above. Therefore, claims 10, 11 are analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-3, 12.

Regarding claim 13, This claim differs from claims of Fawaz et al. in view of et al.

Dravida et al. in that the claim recited a computer program product for performing the same basis of steps and method, apparatus of the prior arts as discussed in the rejection of claims above. Therefore, claim 13 is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claims 1-3, 10-12. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to implement a computer program product in Fawaz et al. in view of Dravida et al. for performing the steps and apparatus as recited in the claim with the motivation being to provide the efficient enhancement to a queuing and scheduling packets in communications network, and easy to maintenance, upgrade.

One skilled in the art would have recognized the need for effectively and efficiently establishing connection using queuing and scheduling packets based on classification, and would have applied Dravida's novel use of the queuing packets in priority order into Fawaz's teaching of a method and apparatus for scheduling packets in packet switched networks. Therefore, It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply Dravida's broadband system with transmission scheduling and flow control into Fawaz's method and apparatus for interconnection of packet switches with

Application/Control Number: 09/955,296

Art Unit: 2665

guaranteed bandwidth with the motivation being to provide a method and system for scheduling in packet switched networks.

5. Claims 4, 5 and 8, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fawaz et al. (US#6,654,374) in view of Dravida et al. (US#2002/0075875) as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Tsang et al. (US#6,047,000).

With respect to claims 4-5 and 8, 9, Fawaz et al. (US#6,654,374) and Dravida et al. (US#2002/0075875) disclose the claimed limitations discussed in paragraph 4 above. However, these claims differ from the claims above in that the claims require the feature of calculating an allocated credit assigned based upon the size of packet. In the same field of endeavor, Tsang et al. (US#6,047,000) discloses a packet scheduling system in which credit is allocated to each incoming stream with reference to the onward transmission or otherwise of that stream. Fig. 2 is a block diagram illustrated a packet scheduling, where the data packets are variable in size and wherein each input stream is allocated a share of the bandwidth of the output transmission link, the selecting means comprises means for determining the credit allocated to each input stream, the bandwidth allocated to each input stream, and the size of the head of line packets waiting to be transmitted in each input stream, and means for sorting the head-of-line packets in accordance with the difference between the size of the head of line packets and the allocated credit as a proportion of the allocated bandwidth, whereby the input stream having an allocated credit closest to the packet size as a proportion of allocated bandwidth is selected for transmission. Following transmission of a packet the credit for the transmitted input stream is reset to zero (Col. 2, lines 27 plus).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the need for effectively and efficiently establishing connection using queuing and scheduling packets based on classification, and would have applied Tsang's teaching in allocated credit in packet scheduling system, and Dravida's novel use of the queuing packets in priority order into Fawaz's teaching of a method and apparatus for scheduling packets in packet switched networks. Therefore, It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply Tsang's packet scheduling system, and Dravida's broadband system with transmission scheduling and flow control into Fawaz's method and apparatus for interconnection of packet switches with guaranteed bandwidth with the motivation being to provide a method and system for scheduling in packet switched networks.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The Olsson et al. (US#6,577,596) is cited to show the method and apparatus for packet delay reduction using scheduling and header compression.

The Li et al. (US#6,560,230) is cited to show the packet scheduling method and apparatus.

The Liu et al. (US#6,940,861) is cited to show the data rate limiting.

The Sui et al. (US#6,359,861) is cited to show the method for scheduling transmissions in a buffered switch.

The Fernow et al. (US#4,475,192) is cited to show the data packet flow control scheme for switching networks.

The Forin (US#6,594,701) is cited to show the credit-based methods and systems for controlling data flow between a sender and a receiver with reduced copying of data.

The McCracken (US#6,532,501) is cited to show the system and method for distributing output queue space.

The Beshai (US#2003/0189947) is cited to show the routing and rate control in a universal transfer mode network.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Phan whose telephone number is (571) 272-3149. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri from 6:00 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached on (571) 272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent
Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published
applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for
unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about

Art Unit: 2665

the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at toll free 1-866-217-9197.

Mphan

11/10/2005.

MANUPHAN PRIMARY EXAMINA